

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Syracuse City Council held on January 31, 2012, at 7:45 p.m., in the Council Chambers, 1979 West 1900 South, Syracuse City, Davis County, Utah.

Present: Councilmembers: Craig A. Johnson
D. Matthew Kimmel
Karianne Lisonbee
Douglas Peterson
Larry D. Shingleton

Mayor Jamie Nagle
City Manager Robert Rice
City Recorder Cassie Z. Brown

City Employees Present:

Police Chief Brian Wallace
Community Development Director Michael Eggett
City Attorney Will Carlson
Information Technologies Director TJ Peace
Finance Manager Steve Marshall
Police Detective Corey Rowley
City Planner Kent Andersen

Visitors Present: Joe Levi	Chad Porter	DaNece Moller
Lynsey Porter	Ray Zaugg	Pat Zaugg
Ryan Chandler	Leah Shingleton	Troy Shingleton
Gerry Guffey	Bob VanVelkinburgh	Jeanne VanVelkinburgh
Jeremy Inskeep	Brian Duncan	Charlotte Duncan
Lisa Chandler	Heidi Brophy	Kristi Whitman
Brent Andrews	Joselyn Sexton	Gary Crane

1. Approval of Minutes.

The minutes of the Regular Meeting of January 10, 2012 were reviewed.

Councilmember Lisonbee asked if consideration of approving the minutes can be tabled. City Recorder Brown stated that any action item on the agenda can be tabled upon an approved motion. City Attorney Carlson stated that he thinks that would be wise considering the fact that the Council would like to reconsider an action taken during the January 10 meeting and the appropriate time to do that is when the minutes of that meeting are approved.

COUNCILMEMBER LISONBEE MADE A MOTION TO TABLE APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF JANUARY 10, 2012. COUNCILMEMBER SHINGLETON SECONDED THE MOTION.

Councilmember Peterson inquired as to the reason for tabling approval of the minutes. Councilmember Lisonbee stated that a motion to reconsider Resolution R12-02, which was adopted during the January 10 meeting, must be made when the minutes regarding that subject are being considered. She stated it is necessary to reconsider the Resolution to ensure that the City's actions were lawful. She stated that if the minutes of the January 10 meeting are approved tonight the Council would no longer have the option to reconsider Resolution R12-02. Mr. Carlson stated that is accurate according to Syracuse City Council Rules of Order and Procedure. Ms. Brown stated another option would be to approve the minutes this evening and draft a new proposed resolution correcting the problems that were created by Resolution R12-02.

Councilmember Lisonbee stated that there were two actions taken by the Council at the last meeting; one was to adopt a resolution making several different appointments and the other was to adopt a resolution to appoint the Mayor to the Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District (WIWMD) Board. She added that some of the appointments included in Resolution R12-02 are to be made by the Council while others are to be made by the Mayor and it may be appropriate to separate those appointments accordingly into two different documents. Ms. Brown stated that she agreed that it may be necessary to draft several resolutions for the Council to consider moving forward. She stated that the resolutions would receive their own new numbers and it would be appropriate to include in the language of one of the resolutions that adoption by the Council would rescind or override the actions taken by Resolution R12-02.

Councilmember Shingleton asked what the "cleanest" approach would be. Ms. Brown stated that if the Council is determined to reconsider Resolution R12-02, the appropriate thing to do would be to table approval of the minutes until the Council is ready to reconsider the resolution. She stated that if the Council would rather draft a couple of new resolutions to accomplish appointing members of the Governing Body to various assignments, then it would be fine to approve the minutes tonight then consider new resolutions at future meetings. Mr. Carlson stated that at a minimum it would seem that it will be appropriate to draft two new resolutions; one would handle the appointment to the North Davis Sewer District (NDSD) Board of Trustees and the other would address all other appointments and assignments.

Mayor Nagle stated there has been a motion and a second to table the approval of the minutes of the Regular Meeting of January 10, 2012; she called for a vote. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

2. Proposed Resolution R12-04 updating and adopting Syracuse City Engineering Standards and Construction Specifications.

The proposed Syracuse City Engineering Standards and Construction Specifications were provided to the Council for consideration. Each municipality follows a set of engineering standards to ensure that minimum requirements are being followed based upon industry standard and local conditions. Syracuse City's standards are currently included as an appendix of Title Eight of the City code. The original standards have been incorporated into the proposed document (shown in gray shading). The new proposed document is more comprehensive based upon common standards used in the engineering industry. As technologies advance, the standards must advance as well; that is the purpose for the currently proposed updates. In order to streamline updates of this document in the future, it is recommended that these standards stand as a separate document from the City Code. The ordinance will still refer to the City standards and future resolutions will ensure that the most current version is being followed.

Mayor Nagle asked which member of staff was prepared to answer questions regarding this item. Ms. Brown stated that Public Works Director Whiteley and Community Development Director Eggett were supposed to be at tonight's meeting to answer questions, but neither of them are present at this time.

COUNCILMEMBER SHINGLETON MADE A MOTION TO TABLE PROPOSED RESOLUTION R12-04 UPDATING AND ADOPTING SYRACUSE CITY ENGINEERING STANDARDS AND CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

3. Proposed Resolution R12-05 reappointing City Recorder, City Treasurer, and Chief of Police.

Section 10-3-916 of the Utah Code dictates that following a municipal election the Mayor, with advice and consent of the Council, shall appoint an individual to the offices of City Recorder and City Treasurer. Section 2.05.090 of the Syracuse City Code dictates that the Mayor, with the advice and consent of the Council, shall also appoint an individual to the office of Chief of Police. Proposed Resolution R12-05 was drafted to provide the Mayor and Council the opportunity to fulfill the statutory requirements defined in State Code and City Code.

COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MADE A MOTION TO ADOPT PROPOSED RESOLUTION R12-05 REAPPOINTING CITY RECORDER, CITY TREASURER, AND CHIEF OF POLICE. COUNCILMEMBER SHINGLETON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

4. Proposed Resolution R12-06 appointing a Fire Chief for Syracuse City.

Recently the Syracuse City Fire Chief position was vacated. Administrative Staff have been working with Mayor Nagle and available Councilmembers to interview, and eventually determine the candidate to be appointed to the position. Final interviews were completed on Friday, January 27 and an offer was extended to the successful candidate on Monday, January 30.

COUNCILMEMBER LISONBEE MADE A MOTION TO TABLE PROPOSED RESOLUTION R12-06 APPOINTING A FIRE CHIEF FOR SYRACUSE CITY. COUNCILMEMBER KIMMEL SECONDED THE MOTION.

Councilmember Johnson inquired as to the reason for tabling the resolution. Councilmember Lisonbee explained that last week she sent an email to Mayor Nagle and she also personally visited with City Manager Rice about a conversation she had with Davis County Sheriff Todd Richardson about the possibility of contracting for Police services and the administration of the Fire Department with Davis County in order to save the citizens a very significant amount of money that could be put towards fixing roads and other things that are needed in the City. She stated she would like to receive a presentation from Mr. Richardson before making a decision on this issue. She stated that from what she understands after talking to those Councilmembers that attended the interviews, it is not urgent to make this appointment tonight and she would prefer that the Council table the decision for two weeks in order to receive additional information from Davis County.

Councilmember Kimmel asked when the City could expect to receive the information from Davis County. Councilmember Lisonbee stated that Mr. Richardson has agreed to attend the City Council meeting scheduled for February 14.

Mayor Nagle stated that she received the email from Councilmember Lisonbee. She stated that she responded to the email, but she also followed up on some of the statements made in the email. She stated that Councilmember Lisonbee claimed to have spoken to Davis County Commissioner John Petroff so Mayor Nagle contacted Commissioner Petroff and he told her that he had never been made aware of this issue. She stated that he told the Mayor that it would take quite some time to assemble financial information about the potential oversight of the Fire Department. She then stated that she also spoke with Police Chief Wallace about the issue and she asked him to provide input relative to the City's relationship with Davis County on matters such as these; namely the contract relationship between the two entities for the purpose of animal control.

Chief Wallace approached the Council and stated that he is disappointed that he was never contacted about this issue and that Councilmember Lisonbee went directly to Mr. Richardson. He stated this issue has been discussed and "hashed

over” several times over a time span of 20 years. He stated that there are a lot of things that the City would give up if the Sheriff’s Officer were to take over law enforcement for the City. He stated the City would essentially lose control of what would be enforced and what types of services would be provided to the City. He stated that the City would essentially be joining a large Department that is less efficient and higher paid and he does not know how it would save the City any money. He stated he would be interested to hear what Mr. Richardson has to say, but he would rather have sufficient time to receive and review the information prior to presenting it to the public in a logical manner. He stated that the City has not had a great history with the County in regards to financial matters. He stated that relative to animal control and dispatch services, the County initially offered a reasonable rate, but later increased the rates to cover costs that are not subject to the approval of the City Council. He cited increases in animal control service rates because the County has decided to increase their staffing levels and purchase new equipment for new employees. He stated the City does not get the opportunity to review those decisions and approve them. He stated that he understands that costs continue to increase, but his assumption would be that Mr. Richardson would submit a proposed rate that the City can not refuse, but five years from now that rate will be much higher and the City will be questioning the decision that was made to contract with the County for public safety resources. He stated that when he first accepted employment with the City the Council considered contracting with the County for police services, but the Council ultimately decided to maintain a Police Department independent of the County. He stated a similar situation occurred in the south end of Davis County a few years ago; three cities were considering combining their police services into a metro police department, which is much different than a sheriff’s department. He stated he has considered doing the same thing with cities that are in close proximity to Syracuse City, such as Clinton, West Point, and Clearfield. He stated that there are many pros and cons to weigh, but it would make much more sense to do that than to contract with the County Sheriff’s Department. He stated the County has a lot of other responsibilities in addition to policing. He stated that they run the County jail, County courts, execution of judgments, etc., that Syracuse City would be rolled into. He stated the City would be subsidizing all of the services that the Sheriff’s Department provides. He stated that unfortunately the Sheriff’s Department is experiencing a trend whereby they are losing control of paramedic services to local districts and cities. He stated that all County deputies are also paramedics and this is one of two jurisdictions in the entire United States where that format still exists. He stated he feels it is antiquated and ineffective and many times there are not County deputies and paramedics available for calls in Syracuse City. He stated that “the writing is on the wall” that there will be a paramedic service in Syracuse, Clearfield, and Clinton in the next four to five years and then there will be no need for support from the County in this area; they will essentially be available for back-up coverage. He stated that the County pays paramedics \$10.00 more per hour than police officers earn and he does not think it makes sense for the City to pay \$30.00 per hour for the City to be patrolled by County officers/paramedics. He stated he will be interested to see what Mr. Richardson has to say, but he is disappointed that he was not involved in discussions to this point and he is disappointed that Mr. Richardson did not contact him and let him know that a member of the City Council had contacted him to solicit information regarding this issue. He stated that his understanding is that Mr. Richardson had some difficulties in the past when he went to cities that were considering combining their police services to propose that he assume responsibility for their law enforcement. He stated that Mr. Richardson did that without the approval or knowledge of the County Commission and they were upset about that. He stated that he feels that Mr. Richardson is trying to contact with additional cities or entities simply to maintain the need for his position and department. He stated he would be happy to be involved in any discussion about this issue, but he does not think it makes sense for the City to consider making the change being suggested by Mr. Richardson.

Councilmember Johnson asked if Mr. Richardson has committed to attend the Council meeting scheduled for February 14. He also asked if he will be prepared to provide in depth information regarding this subject. Mayor Nagle stated that she talked to Commissioner Petroff about the depth and breadth of the information that Mr. Richardson would be able to provide and Commissioner Petroff told her that the process would be very complex and there would be many obstacles to work through before the City and the County would be prepared to execute some sort of agreement for the oversight of public safety in Syracuse City. She stated that Commissioner Petroff also expressed his concern over the fact that this issue had not been discussed with the Commission whatsoever. She stated that her inquiry to him was the first time he had heard of this issue. She then stated that she wanted to be very clear that herself and Chief Wallace have had several conversations about the fact that they both believe there are wastes and overlaps in service and she thought it would be very easy to solve when she was initially elected as Mayor, but she has since found that it is a very complex issue. She stated that a significant step has been taken by gathering Police Chiefs, City Managers, County Commissioners, and the County Sheriff to explore the option of consolidating dispatch services in the area. She stated there were so many “turf issues” and it has taken one year to work through the process of getting all cities entities to consider reviewing the way task forces are managed. She stated that the agreement that was brokered by Troy Rawlings through the Council of Governments (COG) was to “tread lightly” because there is a lot of mistrust among the cities in Davis County. She explained that West Point City has a contract with Davis County and they get a “sweetheart deal” that would not be available to Syracuse City; therefore, Syracuse would

subsidize West Point services in addition to the subsidization that is already occurring. She stated that some cities are paying a lot of money and some are paying very little. She stated all cities agreed to work together to come up with an efficient way to staff task forces in a manner that each city will carry their fair share. She stated that it is pretty universally believed that some time in the future the area will operate in a manner similar to a metro unit, but getting to that point will take much detailed discussion. She stated that she is glad that the conversations have been started and that there are elected officials in local cities that are engaged in the process. She stated, however, that it will not be possible to resolve this issue in two weeks or in two months for that matter. She stated that her goal and the goal of those working on the issue is to try to have information to each local city prior to preparation of tentative budgets for the next fiscal year.

Councilmember Lisonbee stated that she appreciates Mayor Nagle's comments as well as the background work she has done on this issue, but she wanted to point out that she was contacted by Mr. Richardson; she did not contact him. She stated that she also talked to Commissioner Petroff about this issue in his office. She stated that she feels that she was elected by the citizens to try to save the City money and get as much money as possible dedicated to road projects and other things that are needed in the City. She stated that the City's budget is very tight and she would at least like to hear the financial information from Mr. Richardson before making any decisions. She stated she feels it is a good idea to have a conversation with Mr. Richardson and she stated that she stands by her motion to table appointing a Fire Chief for two weeks.

City Attorney Carlson stated that according to City Code section 2.06.070, on or before the first Monday in February following a municipal mayoral election, there shall be appointed by the Mayor, with the advice and consent of the City Council, a City Fire Chief who shall perform the duties required of him by law, and shall perform such other duties as the City Council may require. He stated that tonight would be the final opportunity to make such an appointment before the first Monday in February. Councilmember Lisonbee asked if that means the Council would be precluded from filling a mid-term vacancy if a Fire Chief resigned after the first Monday in February. Mr. Carlson stated that he is simply providing the City's current ordinance in order to assist them with making their decision. Councilmember Lisonbee asked if the Council could appoint a Fire Chief at the February 14 Council meeting. Mayor Nagle stated that according to City Code, Mr. Carlson is stating that the Chief must be appointed tonight. A resident in the audience stated that the Code citation that Mr. Carlson read referenced a mayoral election and the 2011 election was not a mayoral election.

Councilmember Peterson stated he feels the Council is talking about two separate issues. Councilmember Lisonbee stated that when Mr. Richardson approached her he explained that according to Utah statute the Sheriff's Department is authorized to oversee a Fire Department and that could save the citizens a significant amount of money. She stated that is why she wants to hear information from Mr. Richardson; she wants to ensure that she is doing her due diligence as a City Councilmember and she feels it is her responsibility to save the citizens as much money as possible. Councilmember Peterson stated he finds it hard to believe that the Council will have enough information to make a decision in two weeks. Councilmember Lisonbee stated that the Council may not have enough information. Councilmember Peterson stated that the City has been in talks with the North Davis Fire District (NDFD) for months and there is no resolution to that discussion. He stated that the City interviewed some great candidates for the Fire Chief position and he feels that the Council needs to appoint Mr. Froerer tonight and let him get to work and then focus on working through all the detailed information that will surround the issue of allowing the Sheriff's Department to assume responsibility for any public safety service in Syracuse City.

Councilmember Kimmel stated that he feels the Council needs to consider the information to be provided by Mr. Richardson. He stated he feels it is his duty as a Councilmember to at least listen to what he has to say and if the presentation looks weak or is full of holes then the Council can move forward with appointing a Fire Chief. He stated he would rather listen than rush to make the appointment. He stated that the City could use any extra money to dedicate to things like fixing the City's roads. Mayor Nagle stated that this is a safety issue; the City needs a Fire Chief that is knowledgeable about fire. She stated a Sheriff or a Police Chief is not knowledgeable about fire. She stated that this could be an issue about money, but it is also an issue of safety. Chief Wallace added that the Sheriff's Department does not know anything about fire; they know a little about Emergency Medical Services (EMS), but they do not know enough about fire. Councilmember Kimmel stated that these concerns or questions could be posed to Mr. Richardson during the discussion. He stated that Mr. Richardson's idea may look great on paper, but the Council will certainly have many questions for him. Chief Wallace stated his feeling is that law enforcement needs to get out of the "medical business" and focus on law enforcement only. He stated that this is one of the only places in the United States that has the type of organization that currently exists in the Sheriff's Department. Councilmember Shingleton stated that in South Ogden City there is one person that is the department head overseeing police and fire services.

City Manager Rice stated that Mayor Nagle has been talking to the NDFD for months and staff is currently working to calculate the financial details of the City joining the NDFD and the current feeling is that it does not make financial sense for the City to make that transition. He stated the NDFD has communicated to the City that, in the event that the City chooses to join the NDFD, the NDFD will utilize the City's Fire Chief as a Battalion Chief. He stated that in other words, no

matter who the City ultimately joins or partners with, it will still be necessary to have one City employee to oversee the Fire Department. He stated that it is necessary to have someone in charge to run the day to day operations of the Fire Department. He stated that all applicants that were considered for the Fire Chief position were all well aware that the City has been in discussions with the NDFD about joining them, but that there would still be required a single point of leadership to run services for the City and the associated equipment and personnel. Councilmember Lisonbee stated that is interesting because she contacted Roger Bodily, Fire Chief for the NDFD, and he said that if the City did not have a Fire Chief it would work out better for the City in the transition because the tax base that would be transferred to the NDFD would be lower. Mr. Rice stated that Mr. Bodily was on the hiring panel for the Fire Chief position and he talked specifically about this issue. Mayor Nagle added that Mr. Bodily had expressed interest in the job himself.

Councilmember Peterson stated that he is in favor of looking into any option that will save the City money, but tonight he thinks it is important to consider the safety of the public; the City has been without a Fire Chief for nearly two months. He stated that the position was advertised and interviews were conducted with participation from himself and Councilmember Kimmel. He stated that a great candidate was chosen and he feels it is necessary to appoint a Fire Chief. He stated the City can continue to look into any options that the Sheriff's Department or the NDFD can offer.

Councilmember Johnson asked if there are any repercussions of waiting two weeks to appoint a Fire Chief. Mr. Rice stated that the job has been offered to an applicant; the Fire Department has been without a Chief for two months; the acting Fire Chief is a part-time employee that does not desire to continue to act as the Chief; and there are three Captains and several other employees that are anxious for the Council to appoint a Chief. He stated that no matter what direction the Council chooses to move forward in, it will be impossible to have sufficient information to make the kind of decision that Councilmember Lisonbee desires in a two week time frame. He stated that the topic of joining the NDFD has been batted around since he was appointed as the City Manager a year ago and once firm numbers were available to the City, staff discovered that it does not make sense for the City to go in that direction. He stated that he truly believes that one day it will make sense for the City to move in that direction, but right now that is not the case. He stated staff has not even considered the Sheriff's Department as an option for fire oversight because they do not perform that function. He stated that he feels that the City needs a Fire Chief starting tonight.

Councilmember Lisonbee stated that she sent an email regarding her research into this issue and her reservations about appointing a Fire Chief prior to the job offer being made to Mr. Froerer. She stated that she agrees with Mr. Rice's conclusions about joining the NDFD because she came to the same conclusions after her own discussions with Mr. Bodily. She stated, however, that she was not aware – until informed by Mr. Richardson – that it was a possibility to save the citizens a significant amount of money by having Mr. Richardson oversee both public safety departments in the City. She stated that she would like to hear Mr. Richardson's presentation and have the opportunity to ask him questions and she does not believe that an additional two weeks will make any more difference than two months has made. She stated that if the Council proceeds with appointing a Fire Chief tonight she feels that would be disingenuous because the Council may be considering a potential move that would make the Fire Chief position in the City obsolete. She stated that is unfair to any candidate. She reiterated that she would stand by her motion to table this agenda item for two weeks.

Mayor Nagle stated there has been a motion and a second regarding tabling the Proposed Resolution to appoint a Fire Chief for Syracuse City; she called for a vote. VOTING "AYE": COUNCILMEMBERS JOHNSON, KIMMEL, LISONBEE, AND SHINGLETON. VOTING "NO": COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON.

Mayor Nagle apologized to Mr. Froerer.

5. Reconsideration of Resolution R12-02 appointing City Councilmembers to various committee positions and assignments.

COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MADE A MOTION TO TABLE RECONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION R12-02 APPOINTING CITY COUNCILMEMBERS TO VARIOUS COMMITTEE POSITIONS AND ASSIGNMENTS. COUNCILMEMBER SHINGLETON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

6. Accept proposed rewrite of Title Four of the Syracuse City Code for a second reading.

The Council originally accepted the proposed rewrite of Title Four of the City Code for a first reading in the fall of 2011. Since that time the City has employed a new Public Works Director and recently elected Councilmembers have taken office. There have been significant changes to the document since Mr. Whiteley has been in his position; therefore, staff felt it was appropriate to resubmit the document to the Council for a second reading. An agenda item will be added to a future work session agenda to allow the Council to review and discuss the document in depth.

COUNCILMEMBER LISONBEE MADE A MOTION TO TABLE ACCEPTING THE PROPOSED REWRITE OF TITLE FOUR OF THE CITY CODE FOR A SECOND READING.

Councilmember Lisonbee stated that the reason she made the motion is because she does not understand what the Council is being asked to do.

City Recorder Brown stated that she provided a memo to each Councilmember explaining what the staff is asking of the Council. She stated the proposal is to accept the document for further reading and research. Councilmember Lisonbee stated that she read the 350-page packet, but she must have missed the memo. She stated that she was not prepared to adopt the document, but she is comfortable with accepting it for a second reading.

COUNCILMEMBER LISONBEE MADE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSED REWRITE OF TITLE FOUR OF THE SYRACUSE CITY CODE FOR A SECOND READING. COUNCILMEMBER KIMMEL SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

7. Authorize Mayor to execute Real Estate Purchase Contract with Irben Development, LLC.

On December 13, 2011 the Council held a public hearing to consider the potential disposal of up to 60 acres of property at Jensen Nature Park. The Council voted unanimously to authorize the City to begin negotiations to sell the property with interested parties. After further discussion by the Council and staff, a sale price of \$32,500 per acre for 60 acres (for a total of \$1,950,000) was agreed upon by the Council. Irben Development, LLC has agreed to that price and are now desirous of executing the Real Estate Purchase Contract for the land. According to the City's contracting policy, it is appropriate for the Mayor to execute the agreement on behalf of the City; therefore, staff recommends that the Council authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement.

COUNCILMEMBER LISONBEE MADE A MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A REAL ESTATE PURCHASE CONTRACT WITH IRBEN DEVELOPMENT, LLC. COUNCILMEMBER KIMMEL SECONDED THE MOTION. VOTING "AYE": COUNCILMEMBER KIMMEL, LISONBEE, PETERSON, AND SHINGLETON. VOTING "NO": COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON.

Councilmember Johnson stated he voted in opposition to the execution of the agreement because he felt the City could have negotiated a higher purchase price for the property.

Acting Fire Chief Bruce Peterson asked for an opportunity to address the Council. He stated that his comments are related to the decision to not appoint a Fire Chief this evening. He stated that he is a part time, at will employee, and he submitted his resignation. He stated he will turn in his equipment to whomever he is required to provide it to, but he refuses to "do this anymore" and maybe Councilmember Lisonbee "can run it". Mayor Nagle asked Mr. Peterson to reconsider. Mr. Peterson refused and stated he "is done". He stated he will turn his equipment immediately.

At 8:27 p.m. COUNCILMEMBER SHINGLETON MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN. COUNCILMEMBER LISONBEE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

Jamie Nagle
Mayor

Cassie Z. Brown, CMC
City Recorder

Date approved: February 28, 2012